

No. 4848	號八十四百八千四第	日三初月五年酉癸治同	HONGKONG, WEDNESDAY, 28TH MAY, 1873.	三拜禮	號八十二月五英	港香	PRICE \$24 PER MONTH
----------	-----------	------------	--------------------------------------	-----	---------	----	----------------------

HOLLIDAY, WISE & Co.  
of 1958 Hongkong, 15th October, 1868.

THE Undersigned is authorised to accept risks on behalf of this Office, by First Class Steamers and Sailing Ships.  
A. McIVER, Agent.  
at Hongkong, 1st July, 1867.

CHAS. H. MORGAN,  
Agent.  
549 Hongkong, 8th April, 1878.

WM. PUSTAN & Co.,  
Agents  
at 663 Hongkong, 9th April 1872

GILMAN & Co., Agents,  
North British & Mercantile Insurance Company  
at 1167 Hongkong, 24th June, 1872.

F, 11 ... .. 1 case Effects.  
JFC, 1658 ... .. - 1 case Hata.  
Hongkong, 19th May, 1873.

779 Hongkong, 18th May, 1873.



## "THE CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY"

For 1873.

NOW READY.

[THIS Work, now in the ELEVENTH year of its existence, is ready for delivery. It has been compiled and printed at the Daily Press Office, from the best and most authentic sources, and no pains have been spared to make the work complete in all respects.

In addition to the usual varied and voluminous information, the value of the "CHRONICLE AND DIRECTORY FOR 1873" has been further augmented by a

## CHRONO-LITHOGRAPH

OF THE

## FOREIGN SETTLEMENTS OF

SHANGHAI.

In addition to a Chrono-Lithograph Plate of the

## NEW CODE OF SIGNALS IN USE

AT THE PEAK.

also of

## THE VARIOUS HOUSE FLAGS

(Designed expressly for this Work)

## MAPS OF HONGKONG, JAPAN, and of the

## THE COAST OF CHINA;

besides other local information and statistics corrected to date of publication, tending to make this work in every way suitable for Public, Mercantile, and General Offices.

The Directory is published in Two Forms, Complete at \$5, or with the Lists of Residents, Port Directories, Maps, &c., at \$8.

Orders for Copies may be sent to the Daily Press Office, or to the following Agents—

Macao.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Canton.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Hongkong.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Shanghai.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Yokohama.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Kobe.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Osaka.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Manila.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Singapore.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Batavia.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 London.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 New York.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 San Francisco.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Hongkong.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Shanghai.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Yokohama.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Kobe.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Osaka.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Manila.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Singapore.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 Batavia.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 London.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 New York.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.  
 San Francisco.....Messrs. J. P. DA SILVA & Co.

## The Daily Press.

HONGKONG, MAY 28th, 1873.

We hear with much pleasure that the case of *SANDER v. THE HONGKONG AND SHANGHAI BANK* has been settled by the latter having come to the resolution to pay one-half of the amount in dispute, each party paying its own costs. This act we are confident will be felt by the large mass of the community to be not only just, but like all actions based upon broad principles of equity, eminently politic in the long run. To a large monied institution such as the Hongkong and Shanghai Bank, such a sum as will be paid is not of very great importance, although no doubt not such as would be given up lightly; and we are confident that the shareholders of the Bank, as well as the community generally, will recognise that the case was one in which the Bank could afford to act in a broad and liberal spirit, and that the Manager and Directors deserve every credit for having done so.

As the case appeared before it came into Court, it would clearly have been premature to offer to pay the money, as it was not until the full facts were elicited that the justice and reasonableness of such a course became apparent. Now, however, that the whole facts have been brought out, and it has been shown by how fine a line the liability of the one side is separated from that of the other in a strictly legal point of view, and how hard as a matter of fact the non-payment of the money would be towards the plaintiffs, there can be little doubt that the case is one which should be treated as it has been. We are confident that the adoption of that course will not be lost upon the Hongkong community, and that, looked at merely from a political point of view, the action does credit to the Bank, inasmuch as it will certainly secure to it an amount of confidence and popularity which will in the long run far outweigh the actual loss that has been sustained.

It is not very often that even individuals can be induced to regard matters in this light, and with corporate bodies, it is still more difficult to cause them to do so. A variety of views have to be considered, and numerous persons consulted, and there is always a danger that some shareholders may raise an objection, looking at the odd sixpence of immediate dividend as of more consequence than the more important effects which the adoption of a liberal course of action will produce, but which must of necessity take a longer time to develop themselves. In the present case, however, we can hardly imagine that the most cantankerous will find any ground to complain of the action of the Board and Manager, or to doubt that they have done what it was eminently in the interests of the shareholders that they should do.

As a matter of principle, they were bound to fight the case in the first instance; but, when its exact nature had become apparent, no one can doubt that they acted not only in an honorable, but also in a politic manner, in sharing with the plaintiffs the loss which had been brought about through *Kennedy's* fraud. So far as that act was concerned, it is unnecessary to say that it was a mistake had been made, and it was evident that both sides had acted in perfect good faith. It is certainly pleasing to see the usual harshness attaching to business matters tempered by such a line of conduct as that which the Hongkong Bank have adopted, and we feel confident that it will not be lost upon the Hongkong public.

The P. M. Company's steamer *Colorado* left Yokohama for this port yesterday.

The steamer *Ching-shing* and *Shanghai* arrived at Shanghai on the 26th instant.

The performance of the performance of the *Colleen Bawn* at the Theatre Royal, on Monday, was extremely successful. Although the weather was very unfavorable, the house was fairly filled, and the piece was throughout well performed. Mrs. Lewis, as the Colleen Bawn, acted with her accustomed talent, and presented a thorough personification of the simple, primitive Irish girl. In the scene where she is brought to the cave by Danny, and endeavored to obtain from him the proof of the marriage, threatening to drown her, she performed in the most natural and touching manner, and produced a great sensation. Miss Jennie Nye, as the Colleen Bawn, also acted with good taste and effect, and Mrs. Taylor did justifyingly the part of Mrs. O'Connell, displaying admirably the combat between the affection for her son and the pride which will not bring her to consent to his marriage with the Colleen Bawn. Miss Roger, as Sherry, performed very naturally and effectively, and Mrs. Byer, as Kyrie Duff, presented a very young Irish girl, perfectly. Among the male parts, Mr. Charles Herbert's *Danny* was a wonderful personification of that peculiar character of villain who is the more scrupulous for his chivalric instincts, though these serve to throw a dash of heroism into his deeds. He portrayed very finely the struggle which was going on within him, when he has to work up his courage to drown the Colleen Bawn. Mrs. Taylor, as Mrs. O'Connell, deserves considerable credit for the interest with which she invested a comparatively unattractive part. Her singing was also very good, and elicited much applause, and we must not also forget to mention Miss Jennie Nye's excellent rendering of "Kathleen Mavourneen," which was enthusiastically received. Mr. Geo. Anderson played very well as the Parish Priest, especially in the part where he makes the Colleen Bawn take the oath never to part with the paper testifying to her marriage. Mr. Leonard, as Harcourt O'Connell, acted on the whole well, but we think, a little too energetic in some parts, thereby sacrificing a certain amount of the dignity which should attach to the character. Mr. Andrews, as Corrigan, displayed the consequential fussiness of the puffing attorney very well.

Altogether the play, a somewhat difficult one to perform satisfactorily, went off with great effect, and elicited plenty of applause. The water scene was stagey, although, of course, upon so small a stage, it is no easy matter to produce effects of this kind.

## SUMMARY JURISDICTION COURT.

BEFORE THE HON. H. J. BALL.

*CHOW-CHOW AND CHUN-SUN-CHONG v. MOORE* (on behalf of the members of the Yut-chong firm).—In these cases the plaintiff appeared by Mr. J. P. Ball, and the defendant by Mr. J. P. Ball. The plaintiff stated that the plaintiff had withdrawn.

The Honor noted that one of the summonses was against Mok-ling, as representing the Yut-chong firm, and asked Mr. J. P. Ball whether he had withdrawn a summons in the form before him. Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

Mr. J. P. Ball said that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him, and that he had withdrawn the summons in the form before him.

## POLICE INTELLIGENCE.

May 27th.

BEFORE THE HON. C. MAY.

## A NOISY WOMAN.

A widow woman named Tam-a-chow, residing in Tiet-look lane, was charged by Sikh constable No. 585, with being a disorderly person, daily creating disturbance, and being in constant quarrel with European and other residents near No. 8 Police Station. Defendant was cautioned, and fined 25 cents.

## A THEFT.

Young-nan, a coolie residing in Gilman street, was charged by a private constable, Chin-fuk-ying, with stealing one shirt and one pair of trousers, valued \$7.50, the property of Adolph Holland, his master.

Complainant stated that on the 26th he was sent with a shirt by his master, and the shirt and trousers on a seal near the office. On his return at 4.30 a.m. he saw the defendant in the act of taking the things out from under the seal of the shirt, which was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

Defendant denied the charge, and said he went to sit down in the chair, when complainant accused him for dipping into his pocket, and then brought against him. He said he did not touch the shirt, and that he was in the yard of the office.

## HOME AND CHINA AFFAIRS.

(From London Correspondent.)

LONDON, 18th April, 1873.

## GENERAL.

The work has been one of high festival throughout the whole country. The indications of the anterior prosperity of the country have been the cause of the demand for the demand during the Easter holidays. The contemplation of this state of things is singularly contrasted. It seems impossible to construct establishments of sufficient size to stand the strain, and one reads the turn of the tide of the country, and the difference of season is a matter of course. The improvement in the style of entertainment is becoming very marked, and a degree of perfection is being attained which the last generation never even dreamed of.

In my humble opinion, the most attractive entertainment has been the Brighton Aquarium, which although very far from perfect, has been a success in many respects. I am sure that the Brighton Aquarium, which although very far from perfect, has been a success in many respects.

A KNIGHT INSURANCE POINT. A knotty point came up on Thursday at the Liverpool Assize, for the solution of which the learned judges of the Bench were called upon. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

## THE SUZ CANAL.

(From London Correspondent.)

LONDON, 18th April, 1873.

## GENERAL.

The work has been one of high festival throughout the whole country. The indications of the anterior prosperity of the country have been the cause of the demand for the demand during the Easter holidays. The contemplation of this state of things is singularly contrasted. It seems impossible to construct establishments of sufficient size to stand the strain, and one reads the turn of the tide of the country, and the difference of season is a matter of course. The improvement in the style of entertainment is becoming very marked, and a degree of perfection is being attained which the last generation never even dreamed of.

In my humble opinion, the most attractive entertainment has been the Brighton Aquarium, which although very far from perfect, has been a success in many respects. I am sure that the Brighton Aquarium, which although very far from perfect, has been a success in many respects.

A KNIGHT INSURANCE POINT. A knotty point came up on Thursday at the Liverpool Assize, for the solution of which the learned judges of the Bench were called upon. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy. The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance policy.

The case was that of "Schofield v. Jones," and turned upon a disputed claim under a marine insurance



Fresh Packet 100..... 25 cents  
 Per British Packet marked with  
 the Union Jack..... 18 "  
 Per British Packet without marked with  
 the Union Jack..... 12 "  
 F. W. MITCHELL, Postmaster General  
 General Post-Office,  
 Hongkong, 2nd April, 1872.

It is hereby notified that henceforward the  
 rates of postage which must be paid in advance  
 chargeable on Packets of printed papers or  
 patterns of light weight sent from Hongkong  
 to the United Kingdom, and to all other  
 Packets via San Francisco, will be as follows  
 viz:—  
 For a packet not exceeding 1 ounce  
 in weight..... 2 cents  
 For a packet above 1 ounce and not  
 exceeding 2 ounces..... 4 "  
 For a packet above 2 ounces not ex-  
 ceeding 4 ounces..... 8 "  
 and for a packet above 4 ounces and not  
 exceeding 8 ounces..... 12 "  
 These rates apply to Printed Circulars, Price  
 Lists, Market Reports, and all printed paper  
 other than Newspapers, as well as to Books  
 and Trade Catalogues.  
 F. W. MITCHELL, Postmaster General  
 General Post Office  
 12th April 1872



